

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/685,406	10/09/2000	Jin-Hak Bae	51876.P201	6028		
7:	7590 04/01/2005			EXAMINER		
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor			LUDWIG, MATTHEW J			
Los Angeles, (•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2178			
	•		DATE MAILED: 04/01/200	DATE MAILED: 04/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/685,406	BAE ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Matthew J. Ludwig	2178			

Before the rining of an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Matthew J. Ludwig	2178			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abar an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	ndonment of this app evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any		
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CAPP Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	1.37 must be filed within two mont DFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.	hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a 37(a).	g the Notice of Notice of		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be 		aduoina or cimplifyina	the issues for		
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	educing or simplifying	, the issues for		
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.			
NOTE: Applicant has introduced new limitations to		-	read as a		
whole. Therefore, further search and consideration					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• • •	• • • •	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,11,13 and 14</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4,6-10,12 and 15</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare. 10. The affidavit as a the application of the application of the application.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(nils to provide a (1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on the status of the craims after e	entry is below or attac	AIEU.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:					

STEPHEN HONG
SORY PATENT EXAMINER

Part of Paper No. 2005